# **EXHIBIT C**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC. and GENERAL INSTRUMENT	)
CORPORATION,	) Case No. 5:11-cv-053-JRG
Plaintiffs, vs.	) ) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED )
TIVO INC.,	) )
Defendant.	) ) )
TIVO INC.,	) ) )
Counterclaim Plaintiff,	) )
VS.	)
MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC., GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION, TIME WARNER CABLE INC., and TIME WARNER CABLE LLC,	) ) ) )
Counterclaim Defendants.	) )

## TIVO'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's charge.

In this Verdict Form, Motorola Mobility Inc. and General Instrument Corporation will be referred to as "Motorola." Time Warner Cable Inc. and Time Warner Cable LLC will be referred to as "Time Warner Cable."

Start with Question No. 1 and proceed through the questions following the directions included in this Verdict Form.

2792312 - 2 -

#### **CLAIMS UNDER TIVO'S PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

1. Has TiVo proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Motorola and/or Time Warner Cable infringes one or more asserted claim of the '389 TimeWarp Patent?

("Yes" is a finding for TiVo. "No" is a finding for Motorola/Time Warner Cable.)

	<u>Motorola</u>	Time Warner Cable
Claim 31	YES NO	YES NO
Claim 61	YES NO	YES NO

2. Has TiVo proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Motorola and/or Time Warner Cable infringes one or more asserted claim of the '465 Multi-Program Patent?

("Yes" is a finding for TiVo. "No" is a finding for Motorola/Time Warner Cable.)

	<u>Motorola</u>	Time Warner Cable	
Claim 8	YES NO	YES NO	
Claim 13	YES NO	YES NO	
Claim 17	YES NO	YES NO	

3. Has TiVo proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Motorola and/or Time Warner Cable infringes one or more asserted claim of the '195 Trick-Play Patent?

("Yes" is a finding for TiVo. "No" is a finding for Motorola/Time Warner Cable)

2792312 - 3 -

	<u>Motorola</u>	Time Warner Cable	
Claim 58	YES NO	YES NO	
Claim 60	YES NO	YES NO	
Claim 64	YES NO	YES NO	
Claim 75	YES NO	YES NO	
Claim 77	YES NO	YES NO	
Motorola's and/or Tin willful?	ne Warner Cable's infringer nding for TiVo. "No" is a YES	oven by clear and convincing evidence that ment of the '389 TimeWarp Patent was  finding for Motorola/Time Warner Cable.)  NO  NO	
5. For each asserted claim of the '389 TimeWarp Patent, the '465 Multi-Program Patent, and the '195 Trick-Play Patent, did Motorola and Time Warner Cable prove by clear and convincing evidence that such claim is invalid?			
Check the first column indicated as "valid" or check the second column indicated as "invalid."			
TimeWarp Patent: U.S. Patent No. 6,233,389 ("Valid" is a finding for TiVo. "Invalid" is a finding for Motorola/TWC)			
	<u>VALID</u>	INVALID	
Claim 31			
Claim 61			

Multi-Program Patent: U.S. Patent No. 7,529,465

2792312 - 4 -

	("Valid" is a f	finding for TiVo.	"Invalid" is a finding for Motorola/TWC.)
		VALID	INVALID
	Claim 8		
	Claim 13		
	Claim 17		
Trick-Play Patent: U.S. Patent No. 6,792,195 ("Valid" is a finding for TiVo.			"Invalid" is a finding for Motorola/TWC)
		VALID	INVALID
	Claim 58		
	Claim 60		
	Claim 64		
	Claim 75		
	Claim 77		

2792312 - 5 -

6. If you have found that Motorola and/or Time Warner Cable infringes one or more valid claims of the '389 TimeWarp Patent, the '465 Multi-Program Patent, or the '195 Trick-Play Patent, please determine the amount of damages that would fairly and adequately compensate TiVo for Motorola's and Time Warner Cable's infringement.

#### Damages As To Motorola

Please state the amount of lost profits damages and reasonable royalty damages to which you have determined that TiVo is entitled from Motorola:

	Lost profits damages:	\$
	Reasonable royalty damages:	\$
	Total:	\$
which	Damages As To Time Warner Cable  Please state the amount of lost profits you have determined that TiVo is entited.	s damages and reasonable royalty damages to
	Lost profits damages:	\$
	Reasonable royalty damages:	\$
	Total:	\$

2792312 - 6 -

## **CLAIMS UNDER MOTOROLA'S PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

7. more		a proven by a preponder of the '948 Patent?	erance of the evidence that TiVo infringes one or
	("Yes" is a fi	nding for Motorola.	"No" is a finding for TiVo.)
	Claim 6	YES	NO
	Claim 20	YES	NO
8. more		a proven by a prepondo of the '714 Patent?	erance of the evidence that TiVo infringes one or
	("Yes" is a fi	nding for Motorola.	"No" is a finding for TiVo.)
	Claim 1	YES	NO
	Claim 2	YES	NO
	Claim 3	YES	NO
	Claim 4	YES	NO
	Claim 9	YES	NO
	Claim 10	YES	NO
9. more		a proven by a preponder of the '708 Patent?	erance of the evidence that TiVo infringes one or
	("Yes" is a fi	nding for Motorola.	"No" is a finding for TiVo.)
	Claim 1	YES	NO
	Claim 11	YES	NO

2792312 - 7 -

If you have found that TiVo infringes any claim of the '948 Patent, '714 Patent, or 10. '708 Patent, for any patent for which you have found infringement, please determine whether such infringement was willful. For any patent for which you did not find infringement, please leave the space blank.

Has Motorola proven by clear and convincing evidence that TiVo's infringement was willful?

	("YES" is a	finding for Motorola.	"No" is a finding for TiVo.)
	'948 Patent	YES	NO
	'714 Patent	YES	NO
	'708 Patent	YES	NO
11. prove		erted claim of the '948 Pate convincing evidence that suc	nt, '714 Patent, and '708 Patent, did TiVo ch claim is invalid?
"inval		rst column indicated as "val	lid" or check the second column indicated as
		No. 5,949,948 finding for Motorola.	"Invalid" is a finding for TiVo.)
		<u>VALID</u>	INVALID
	Claim 6		
	Claim 20		
		No. 6,304,714 finding for Motorola.	"Invalid" is a finding for TiVo.)
		<u>VALID</u>	INVALID
	Claim 1		
	Claim 2		
	Claim 3		
	Claim 4		
	Claim 9		
	Claim 10		

- 8 -2792312

	atent No. 6,356,708 I' is a finding for Motorola.	"Invalid" is a finding for TiVo.)
	<u>VALID</u>	INVALID
Claim	1	
Claim	11	
714 Patent, or	: '708 Patent, please state the a quately compensate Motorola	
	\$	Signed thisday of
		JURY FOREPERSON

2792312 - 9 -